

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,704	11/25/2003	Howard M. Lee	018.0961.US.UTL	6308	
22895 CASCADIA II	7590 08/13/201 NTELLECTUAL PROI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 UNION STREET			MANSFIELD	MANSFIELD, THOMAS L	
SUITE 1005 SEATTLE, W.	A 98101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3624			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/721,704	LEE, HOWARD M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624		

	THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection,							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Syaminer Note: 16 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled it is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount for file 7. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	iance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of					
2. If The Notice of Appeal was filed on, A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\bigcup \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claims 14-22, 42-63, 74-82, 97-104.	Claim(s) rejected: <i>Claims</i> 14-22, 42-63, 74-82, 97-104.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hafaa aa aa dha data af Claa a Na		the entrand					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavitor other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/LYNDA C JASMIN/	/T. M./							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624	Examiner, Art Unit 3624							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Finally rejected Claims 14-22, 42-63, 74-82, 97-104 remain finally rejected. The newly proposed amendment in amended Claim 42 recites, "a calibration module to calibrate the analysts by receiving performance scores based on the interaction from each analyst and reviewing the performance scores until variation between the analyst scores satisfy a predefined limit, each performance score providing an estimate for a quality of service rendered by the agent to the customer" would require further consideration and/or new search.